

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,675	10/038,675 12/31/2001		Mathew L. Koele	KCC 4772; K.C. No.16,738 9036	
321	7590	05/13/2005		EXAM	INER
SENNIGER POWERS LEAVITT AND ROEDEL				KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
ONE METR	OPOLITA	AN SQUARE			
16TH FLOC	R	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS,	MO 631	02		3761	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SA

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/038,675	KOELE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michele Kidwell	3761		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michele Kidwell	3761	
			<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in illiance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reputate of the final rejection.	offidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 ly must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened strabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the compared to the first th	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **	!: 4	+ (DTOL 204)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendr	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 37-52. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 53-54. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	cnea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	MyCMUL Michele Kidwell	Lidus
		Examiner Art Unit: 3761	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the addition of the limitations requiring a non woven loop material to be substantially ungathered instead of non-creped and requiring the bond points on the non woven loop material to be defined by discrete compression points in conjunction with the previously claimed limitations will require further searching and consideration.